

1 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)  
 2 Steele Hansmeier PLLC.  
 3 38 Miller Avenue, #263  
 4 Mill Valley, CA 94941  
 5 415-325-5900  
 6 [blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com](mailto:blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com)

7 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

8  
 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
 10  
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12  
 13 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
 14

15 BOY RACER, INC,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 DOES 2-71,

19 Defendants.

No. C-11-02833 EJD (PSG)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER  
 DENYING MOVANT'S MOTIONS  
 TO QUASH**

20  
 21 **ORDER DENYING MOVANTS' MOTIONS TO QUASH**  
 22

23 The Court has reviewed anonymous Movants' Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF  
 24 Nos. 9, 10 and 11), and Plaintiff's Omnibus Response in Opposition (ECF No. 13) to all three. As  
 25 outlined more fully Plaintiff's Opposition, Movant has failed to meet its burden of showing that the  
 26 subject subpoena should be quashed. *See UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Does 1-4*, No. 06-0652, 2006  
 27 WL 1343597, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2006) (Chen, J.). As such, all three of Movants' Motions to  
 28 Quash are **DENIED**.

Third-party entities that have been, and will be, subpoenaed by Plaintiff must still carry on  
 with gathering and supplying Plaintiff with the information requested through its Rule 45 subpoenas  
 as fully laid out in this Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Application for Expedited  
 Discovery (ECF No. 8).

1           This order disposes of Docket No. 9, 10 and 11.

2

3           IT IS SO ORDERED.

4

5 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Court Judge

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28